# Secure Programming Peter Chapin Vermont Technical College

C Language Behaviors

# Taxonomy of Error Behavior

- The C standard defines various kind of behavior
  - Implementation Defined Behavior
    - Behavior that is correct, but may vary from one implementation to another.
    - Implementation defined behavior must be documented.
  - Unspecified Behavior
    - Behavior that is correct, but not specified nor documented.
    - Unspecified behavior may vary from moment to moment.
  - Undefined Behavior
    - Completely undefined: "Anything goes!"

- Example: The range on primitive types...
  - C requires minimal ranges:
    - -32767 <= short int <= 32767 (16 bits)
    - -32767 <= int <= 32767 (16 bits)
    - -2147483647 <= long int <= 2147483647 (32 bits)
  - C also requires that the actual sizes be such that:

```
sizeof(short) <= sizeof(int) <= sizeof(long)</pre>
```

C leaves the actual ranges Implementation Defined

- A typical compiler for 32 bit targets uses:
  - Straightforward 2's complement ranges (note the extra negative):
    - -3276<mark>8</mark> <= short int <= 32767 (16 bits)
    - -214748364<mark>8</mark> <= int <= 2147483647 (32 bits)
    - -214748364<mark>8</mark> <= long int <= 2147483647 (32 bits)

Consider a program that assumes int has a large range:

```
- int line_count;
...
line_count = 50000; // Implementation defined!
```

- The problem is that not all compilers use a range for int that includes 50000. On such machines the value is truncated (CWE-197).
- The program is fine and works perfectly on machines using 32 bit int.

- Java has much less implementation defined behavior
  - For example, the range on basic types is fixed by the language:
    - The type int is definitely 32 bit using 2's complement
    - The type long is definitely 64 bit using 2's complement
  - This simplifies life for the programmer...
  - ... BUT it prevents Java from taking advantage of diverse systems effectively
    - On small machines, large integers are imposed.
    - On large machines, the full capacity of the machine is harder to access.

The Ada language allows programmers to specify ranges:

```
- type Line_Counter_Type is range 0 .. 1_000_000;
...
Line_Counter : Line_Counter_Type;
...
Line_Counter := 50_000; -- Works on all machines.
```

Makes range information explicit in the program.

## **Unspecified Behavior**

Classic example: order of evaluation of function arguments.

```
- x = f(a + b, c - d);
```

- Which is evaluated first: a + b or c d?
- The C standard says the order is "unspecified."
- The compiler is allowed either order, it does not have to document it
- The order might be different for different function calls
- The order might be different each time the program runs!
- In this case it doesn't matter and nobody cares.

## **Unspecified Behavior**

Now consider this example:

```
- x = f(g(), h());
```

- Which function is called first? g() or h()?
- The order is still unspecified
- ... BUT it might make a difference: suppose g() outputs "Hello" and h() outputs "World." Does the program output "Hello World" or "World Hello?"
- The program might do what is intended, but that would be by accident. Don't write code that relies on unspecified behavior!

Anything can happen. Usually the program crashes.

```
- char buffer[128];
...
buffer[128] = 'x'; // Array out of bounds is UB.
```

- Even reading an array out of bounds is UB (not just writing to it).
- It might "work." Program continues and computes a reasonable result. Or... program might output garbage ultimately. Or... program might crash immediately. Or... program might crash much later.

- In C, many things are undefined
  - Illegal array access
  - Integer overflow
  - Comparing pointers into different arrays
  - Many others...

Integer overflow... (example assumes 32 bit integers)

```
- int x = 10000000;
int y = 10000000;
int z;
...
z = x * y; // Result overflows integer. UB!
```

- Most compilers will wrap the result and continue executing.
- In theory the program might crash. Most like it will produce garbage

Pointers into different arrays can't be compared...

```
- char buffer1[128];
char buffer2[128];
char *p1 = &buffer1[64];
char *p2 = &buffer2[64];
...
if( p1 < p2 ) { ... // Undefined behavior!</pre>
```

 Program will probably evaluate this to true/false depending on the relative positions of the arrays in memory. OTOH, the program might crash.

# Strictly Conforming

- A program is *Strictly Conforming* if...
  - it engages in no implementation defined, unspecified, or undefined behavior.
- Such programs are highly portable
  - They should compile and work on every system that supports standard C.

# Help!!

- How is a programmer to keep all of this straight?
  - In reality: It is very difficult.
  - Consequently many C programs crawl with implementation defined, unspecified, and even undefined behavior.
  - Many C programs have rampant issues because of this and suffer from reliability and security problems.
- Tools can help!
  - We will talk about this later in the class.

# Other Languages?

- Other languages have similar issues, to a lesser degree.
  - Java is more defined: accessing an array out of bounds throws an ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
    - The behavior is well defined.
    - Still probably not desirable to have this happening, though.
  - In general languages vary greatly in these areas; learning about them is part of learning a language.