

Lazy Evaluation

CIS-3030, Vermont Technical College

Peter C. Chapin

Eager Evaluation

- Consider

```
– def checkValue(x: Int) =  
    if (x < 0)  
        println(s"The value $x is negative")
```

```
checkValue(a + f())
```

- In an *eager language* the expression `a + f()` is evaluated and the result sent to `checkValue`.
 - That value is used twice in this example.
 - Side effects of `f()` only happen once.

Lazy Evaluation

- Consider

- **def** checkValue(x: Int) =
 if (x < 0)
 println(s"The value \$x is negative")

checkValue(a + f())

- In a *lazy language* the expression `a + f()` is passed to `checkValue` **unevaluated**.

- Parameter is evaluated twice in this example (maybe).
 - Side effects of `f()` happen twice (maybe).

Lazy Evaluation More Expressive

- Some programs work

- **def** computeBase(x: Int) =
 if (someCondition) x + 1 **else** 0

- computeBase(a/b)

- What if `b == 0`?

- In an eager language `a/b` throws an exception
 - In a lazy language it works if `someCondition` is always false when `b == 0` is true.
 - The parameter `x` is not needed in that case!

Which is Faster?

- Eager Evaluation
 - Function arguments evaluated only once
 - ... even if used multiple times in the function body.
- Lazy Evaluation
 - Function arguments not evaluated at all
 - ... if never used in a particular run of the function.
- Conclusion...
 - A wash. Depends on program and compiler.

With Side Effects?

- Eager Evaluation
 - Side effects occur when arguments evaluated
 - ... easy to understand and reason about.
- Lazy Evaluation
 - Side effects occur “later.”
 - ... confusing (especially when debugging).
- Conclusion
 - Lazy evaluation works better in functional setting.

Popularity?

- Eager Evaluation
 - Overwhelmingly more popular
 - All imperative languages. Many functional languages.
- Lazy Evaluation
 - Haskell
 - ... and its dialects and followers.
- Why?
 - Eager evaluation is easier to implement.

What about Scala?

- Eager by default... allows lazy as an option.
 - Simulating lazy evaluation is fairly easy.
 - `def maybeDoOperation(op: () => Unit) =
 if (someCondition) op() else ()`

`maybeDoOperation(() => println(a/b))`
 - Parameter function from `Unit => Unit`
 - Compiler makes closure out of `println(a/b)`
 - Function only invoked if `someCondition` true.
 - That's when side effects of evaluating `println(a/b)` happen

By-Name Parameters

- Scala offers syntactic sugar
 - **def** maybeDoOperation(op: => Unit) =
 if (someCondition) op **else** ()

 maybeDoOperation(println(a/b))
 - Compiler understands parameter is function taking Unit and returning Unit (in this case).
 - Reduces syntactic burden at call site.

General Usage

- Allows expressions to be passed unevaluated

```
- def requiring[A](  
  condition: => Boolean  
  action    : => A) = {
```

Expression evaluating to Boolean

```
  Controller.preconditionsActive match {  
    case false => action  
    case true  =>  
      if (!condition)  
        throw new ContractFailureException(  
          "Failed precondition")  
      else  
        action  
  }
```

Contract Usage

- The previous method can be called like this
 - `val result =`
 `requiring(x > 0, doStuff(myArray(x)))`
 - If precondition checks are off...
 - ... the condition is not evaluated
 - ... the other expression is evaluated once
 - If precondition checks are on...
 - ... the condition is evaluated
 - ... the other expression is not evaluated if the condition is false.

Use Two Parameter Lists

- Allows expressions to be passed unevaluated

```
- def requiring[A](  
    condition: => Boolean)  
  (action    : => A) = {
```

```
    Controller.preconditionsActive match {  
        case false => action  
        case true  =>  
            if (!condition)  
                throw new ContractFailureException(  
                    "Failed precondition")  
            else  
                action  
    }
```

This is Scala!

- Now requiring looks like a control structure
 - `val result = requiring(x > 0) {
 val temp = ...
 // Code of arbitrary complexity
 myArray(temp + x/2)
}`
 - Second parameter list enclosed in `{ ... }`
 - ... passed unevaluated into `requiring`.
 - ... evaluated inside `requiring` on demand

Domain Specific Languages

- Scala is good for internal DSLs because
 - You can define new operators
 - Operators are just method names with funny letters
 - You can define new control structures
 - As methods taking by-name parameters
 - ... together with Scala's syntactic abbreviations

Lazy Vals

- A Lazy val is one where the initializer is evaluated only if needed.
 - `lazy val x = f()`
`if (someCondition) x + 1 else 0`
 - Here `f()` is called only if `someCondition` is true.
 - Avoids side effects when not wanted/needed.
 - Can be faster.

Compare

- Three different ways to compute a value
 - `val x = f()`
`def y = f()`
`lazy val z = f()`
 - The `val`...
 - Initialized exactly once (needed or not).
 - The `def`...
 - Called each time it is used (but not when defined).
 - The `lazy val`
 - Initialized exactly once but deferred until it is used.